

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,232	TREIBACH-HECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tran A. Quoc	2176	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Tran A. Quoc (USPTO).

(3) _____

(2) Jeffrey Pearce (Attorney).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 September 2007

Time: 1PM- EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Mawell; Light, and Tsuji


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following amended of claims 1, 3-5, 9-10, 12-13, 15; cancels claims 2, 11, 18, 19, and adds new claim 20. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.